

American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network

# Legislative Scorecard 2019



[fightcancer.org](https://fightcancer.org)

---

# American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network

## Legislative Scorecard 2019

The American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network (ACS CAN) empowers cancer patients, survivors, their families and other health advocates by amplifying their voices in the halls of government. As such, ACS CAN is a powerful force in shaping policies that fight cancer. We mobilize our large grassroots network to elevate cancer as a priority with lawmakers at the local, state and federal levels.

In California, ACS CAN and its volunteer advocates influence evidence-based legislation and regulatory solutions that will reduce the cancer burden. As the American Cancer Society’s nonprofit, nonpartisan advocacy affiliate, ACS CAN is critical to the fight for a world without cancer.

While Congress and the courts considered changes to the health care law in 2019 that would decrease enrollment in health exchanges fostered by the landmark Affordable Care Act, ACS CAN and its volunteer advocates worked with state policymakers to maintain access to affordable and adequate health care for Californians. This included legislation that was successfully signed into law:

- Mandating that California residents and dependents maintain minimum essential health care coverage as defined by the Affordable Care Act
- Requiring the state health exchange to automatically enroll Medi-Cal recipients who no longer qualify for coverage into the lowest cost plan in order to ensure they maintain coverage
- Extending the open enrollment period for the health benefit exchange to allow consumers more time to obtain health care coverage
- Clarifying that a health plan or insurer include coverage for standard fertility preservation services when a medically necessary treatment may cause infertility

Other legislation ACS CAN supported in 2019 that was passed and enacted included reducing cancer-causing pollution from medium- and heavy-duty vehicles by reducing the level of greenhouse gas emissions allowed, as well as improving emission standards. Another bill we championed will require manufacturers of cosmetic products to disclose potentially cancer-causing ingredients on a public website.

On the tobacco control front, ACS CAN and its volunteer advocates were tireless in spotlighting the influence of the tobacco industry at the Capitol and in particular Juul Labs, which the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) says is behind a nationwide [youth e-cigarette epidemic](#).

[New data from the FDA](#) in 2019 showed that the youth use of e-cigarettes in high school is skyrocketing, with a 135% increase over the past two years. As the public health crisis unfolded in 2019, alarming statistics showed 27.5% of high school students used e-cigarettes in the past 30 days, with 63.9% using mint or menthol, only 2% less than fruit flavors and significantly more than candy flavors.

[Assembly Bill \(AB\) 739](#) and [Senate Bill \(SB\) 38](#), backed by ACS CAN, would have restricted the sale of all flavored tobacco products statewide. Neither bill survived the legislative session after Juul Labs launched a major lobbying campaign. ACS CAN and its volunteer advocates repeatedly urged lawmakers in 2019 to focus on comprehensive legislation that includes restricting the sale of all flavored tobacco including menthol. We pledge to continue the fight to enact legislation restricting flavored tobacco in 2020 because it is key to stopping the youth e-cigarette epidemic threatening the health and safety of young Californians.

Please review the enclosed *Legislative Scorecard 2019* for an evaluation of policymakers’ records and the progress that is being made in the cancer fight. Then visit **[fightcancer.org](https://fightcancer.org)** to learn more about how you can help California lead the way as a national public health leader.

---

**Please note:** *The American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network does not endorse or oppose candidates for elected office or political parties. This record of votes is strictly nonpartisan and is not meant to convey support or opposition to any legislator. Because legislators often cast hundreds of votes in a year, there are inherent limitations in attempting to evaluate a legislator solely on the basis of a few selected votes. Other important work includes unrecorded matters, such as performance on committees and constituent services. Legislative Scorecard 2019 was compiled from voting information from the public vote record, which can be found at <https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/>.*





# Scorecard Methodology

## What information does ACS CAN use to accredit votes?

The vote information used to create this scorecard was taken from the public vote record, which can be found at <https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/>, the official site for California legislative information.

## Which votes were counted?

Only the final floor vote is counted for each chamber of the Legislature, because this represents the action taken by each legislator on the final version of the bill.

## Why are previous floor votes and committee votes not counted?

Focusing on the final floor vote provides a better representation of ACS CAN’s policy priorities. As a bill moves through committees and between the chambers of the legislature, it is often amended. The final floor vote reflects the legislator’s position on the final version of the bill.

## How are votes represented in the scorecard?

The votes are scored by indicating either a check (✓) for a vote in accordance with the ACS CAN position, X (✗) for a vote against the ACS CAN position, NV (NV) for no vote due to absence or desire to abstain, or N/A (N/A), which indicates the legislator was not in office at the time of the vote or the vote did not make it to the floor for a final vote.

## How are Governor Gavin Newsom’s actions represented in the scorecard?

Actions the governor took in accordance with the ACS CAN position are marked with a check (✓). Actions that went against the ACS CAN position are marked with an X (✗).

## How should a NV be interpreted?

No Vote (NV) counts as a negative vote for a legislator when the desired outcome is passing the legislation and as a positive vote for a legislator when the desired outcome is blocking the legislation. No accommodation was made for excused absences.

## How should a N/A be interpreted?

Not Applicable (N/A) does not count against the legislator. There were several special elections during the 2019 legislative session, and several of the members were not in office at the time that the votes were taken.



# 2019 Scorecard Legislation

## ACCESS TO CARE

### AB 414 (Bonta)

Requires the Franchise Tax Board to report data to the Legislature regarding the newly enacted minimum essential coverage individual mandate, penalties and subsidies. ACS CAN supported this bill because it will ensure the Legislature has necessary data to monitor implementation of the individual mandate in order to stabilize the insurance market, expand coverage, and reduce premium costs.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### AB 528 (Low)

Requires that prescriptions for controlled substances be reported to the state’s prescription drug monitoring program no more than one working day after dispensing. ACS CAN supported AB 528 because it will help cancer patients avoid delays in getting needed medication.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### AB 1309 (Bauer-Kahan)

Extends the open enrollment period for individual health insurance plans from December 16 to January 31. ACS CAN supported AB 1309 because it will keep more Californians covered by allowing more time for people to enroll in health care.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### AB 1468 (McCarty)

Would impose a new tax on manufacturers and wholesalers of opioid drugs. ACS CAN opposes AB 1468 because it could increase the cost of important pain medications for cancer patients.

*ACS CAN Position: OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED*  
*Outcome: On Assembly Floor*

### SB 65 (Pan)

Would require the state’s health benefit exchange to provide financial assistance to low- and middle-income Californians in the form of reduced premiums, copays and deductibles. ACS CAN supports SB 65 because it will help ensure better access to health care coverage for low- and middle-income Californians.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: In Assembly Appropriations Committee*

### SB 175 (Pan)

Requires California residents and dependents to maintain minimum essential health care coverage as defined by the Affordable Care Act. ACS CAN supported SB 175 because reinstating the individual mandate at the state level will help stabilize the insurance market, reduce premium costs and expand health care coverage.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed as part of State Budget, SB 78*

### SB 260 (Hurtado)

Allows Covered California to directly outreach, educate and inform about different health plan options to those who lose private insurance coverage, so that they can more easily enroll in Covered California or Medi-Cal. ACS CAN supported SB 260 because it will help ensure that low-income Californians maintain health care coverage through changes in income.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### SB 600 (Portantino)

Clarifies that standard fertility preservation services are covered when a medically necessary treatment may cause infertility. ACS CAN supported SB 600 because it will ensure that patients who are at risk of becoming infertile due to cancer treatments have access fertility preservation treatments.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### SB 650 (Rubio)

Would have created a task force to identify the best way to transfer unused cancer medications to patients in need. ACS CAN supported SB 650 because it could have led to less expensive and more timely access to cancer medications.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Died in Assembly Appropriations Committee*

## COLORECTAL CANCER

### ACR 32 (Flora)

This resolution declared March 2019 to be Colorectal Cancer Awareness Month. ACS CAN supported ACR 32 to help raise awareness about colorectal cancer and remove barriers to screening.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

## ENVIRONMENT

### AB 647 (Kalra)

Requires manufacturers of cosmetic products to disclose potentially harmful ingredients by brand name or other common names on a public website. ACS CAN supported SB 647 because it will help people make more informed decisions about potential exposure to hazardous substances.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### SB 44 (Skinner)

Requires the California Air Resources Board to update its strategy for deploying medium- and heavy-duty clean-air vehicles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. ACS CAN supported SB 44 because it will create clean air standards that will help reduce the amount of cancer-causing pollutants in the air.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### SB 210 (Leyva)


Requires the state to develop and implement a heavy-duty vehicle inspection and maintenance program similar to what is already required for other vehicles. ACS CAN supported SB 210 because it will help reduce cancer-causing emissions from heavy-duty trucks.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Signed*

### SB 574 (Leyva)

Would have required manufacturers of cosmetic products sold in the state to disclose ingredients included in the fragrance. ACS CAN supported SB 574 because it would have helped consumers make more informed decisions about potential exposure to hazardous substances.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*  
*Outcome: Died in Senate Appropriations Committee*





## HEALTHY EATING & ACTIVE LIVING

### AB 138 (Bloom)

Would establish a tax on sugary drinks, with the revenue used to promote health equity, reduce health disparities, improve oral health and prevent the leading illnesses caused by consumption of sugary beverages. ACS CAN supports AB 138 because it may help reduce consumption of sugary beverages, which is a risk factor for obesity-related cancers. AB 138 would also provide important funding to help improve healthy eating and active living environments in underserved communities.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: In Assembly Health Committee*

### AB 479 (Nazarian)

Would establish a new program to provide interested K-12 public schools with additional state funding for serving plant-based lunch entrees and non-dairy milks. ACS CAN supports AB 479 because it could help reduce consumption of meat and encourage more schools to provide plant-based meals for children, which could help prevent cancer later in life.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: In Senate Appropriations Committee*

### AB 765 (Wicks)

Enacts the California Healthy Checkout Aisles for Healthy Families Act to prohibit stores from making sugar-sweetened drinks available for purchase in checkout aisles. ACS CAN supports AB 765 because it could help reduce children’s consumption of sugary drinks.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: In Assembly Health Committee*

### SB 346 (Jackson)

Would have re-established a program to recognize and promote after-school programs that meet healthy eating and physical activity standards. ACS CAN supported SB 346 because the program has helped establish healthy eating and exercise habits for children.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: Died in Assembly Appropriations*

## TOBACCO

### AB 739 (McCarty)

Would prohibit the retail sale of all flavored tobacco products, including menthol cigarettes and flavored little cigars. ACS CAN supports AB 739 because nearly 80% of youth who have used tobacco products started with a flavored tobacco product.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: In Assembly Governmental Organization Committee*

### AB 1465 (Bloom)

Creates a new type of state license for a café/lounge designation that would allow the sale and on-site consumption of cannabis where food and alcohol could be served. ACS CAN opposes AB 1465 because it would undermine the state’s laws on smoke-free bars and restaurants.

*ACS CAN Position: OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED*

*Outcome: In Assembly Appropriations Committee*

### AB 1625 (R. Rivas)

Requires tobacco manufacturers and importers to report information to the attorney general about all their brand styles and for the attorney general to use that information to develop and maintain a list of unflavored tobacco products on its website. ACS CAN supports AB 1625 to make it easier for local jurisdictions to define and enforce restrictions on flavored tobacco products.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: In Assembly Governmental Organization Committee*

### AB 1639 (Gray)

Requires tobacco retailers to use age verification software or an age verification device to establish the age of a tobacco purchaser and increases fines for illegal sales. ACS CAN supports the August 21, 2019, version of AB 1639 because increased penalties on retailers would make it more difficult for youth to acquire tobacco products. ACS CAN had opposed previous versions of the bill that sought to recriminalize tobacco possession for minors, as well as to prohibit some flavors while exempting some of the most popular flavors used by youth.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: In Senate Rules*

### AB 1718 (Levine)

Would have placed restrictions on smoking in state parks and at state beaches. ACS CAN was unable to support AB 1718 because of a loophole that could have made its application weaker than existing laws pertaining to local parks and beaches. However, when this loophole was removed to make the bill identical to SB 8, ACS CAN adopted a support position because it would be consistent with local smoke-free parks and beaches laws to help reduce exposure to secondhand smoke. Ultimately, the governor signed SB 8 and vetoed the identical and duplicative AB 1718.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: Vetoed*

### SB 8 (Glazer)

Prohibits smoking in all state parks and at all state beaches. ACS CAN supported SB 8 because it will help reduce exposure to secondhand smoke.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: Signed*

### SB 38 (Hill)

Would have prohibited the retail sale of all flavored tobacco products in California, including menthol cigarettes and flavored little cigars. ACS CAN originally sponsored SB 38 because nearly 80% of youth who have used tobacco products have started with a flavored tobacco product. However, we had to withdraw support for the bill when hostile amendments were added that would have undermined the intent of the bill.

*ACS CAN Position: OPPOSE*

*Outcome: In Senate Inactive File*

### SB 39 (Hill)

Requires sellers, distributors and non-sale distributors to deliver tobacco products only in conspicuously marked containers, as specified, and to obtain the signature of a person 21 years of age or older before delivering a tobacco product. ACS CAN supported SB 39 because it will ensure persons under 21 do not gain access to tobacco.

*ACS CAN Position: SUPPORT*

*Outcome: Signed*

**Please Note:** The preceding list includes all the bills on which ACS CAN took a position. The following chart includes only those bills that received at least one vote by the full Assembly or Senate.

# State Assembly Scorecard

First Name	Last	Party	District	City	AB 414	AB 479	AB 528	AB 647	AB 1309
Cecilia	Aguiar-Curry	D	4	Winters	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
Joaquin	Arambula	D	31	Fresno	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rebecca	Bauer-Kahan	D	16	Orinda	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Marc	Berman	D	24	Palo Alto	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Frank	Bigelow	R	5	O'Neals	✓	x	✓	✓	✓
Richard	Bloom	D	50	Santa Monica	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Tasha	Boerner Horvath	D	76	Encinitas	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rob	Bonta	D	18	Alameda	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
William	Brough	R	73	Dana Point	✓	x	✓	✓	✓
Autumn	Burke	D	62	Inglewood	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ian	Calderon	D	57	Whittier	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Wendy	Carrillo	D	51	Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sabrina	Cervantes	D	60	Riverside	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ed	Chau	D	49	Arcadia	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Phillip	Chen	R	55	Yorba Linda	✓	x	✓	✓	NV
David	Chiu	D	17	San Francisco	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Steven	Choi	R	68	Irvine	NV	NV	✓	NV	✓
Kansen	Chu	D	25	San Jose	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ken	Cooley	D	8	Rancho Cordova	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓
Jim	Cooper	D	9	Elk Grove	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jordan	Cunningham	R	35	San Luis Obispo	✓	x	✓	✓	✓
Brian	Dahle	R	1	Bieber	N/A	x	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tom	Daly	D	69	Anaheim	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Tyler	Diep	R	72	Westminster	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
Susan Talamantes	Eggman	D	13	Stockton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Heath	Flora	R	12	Ripon	✓	x	✓	✓	NV
Vince	Fong	R	34	Bakersfield	NV	x	✓	NV	NV
Jim	Frazier	D	11	Discovery Bay	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Laura	Friedman	D	43	Glendale	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jesse	Gabriel	D	45	Encino	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
James	Gallagher	R	3	Yuba City	NV	x	✓	NV	✓
Cristina	Garcia	D	58	Bell Gardens	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Eduardo	Garcia	D	56	Coachella	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓
Mike	Gipson	D	64	Carson	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Todd	Gloria	D	78	San Diego	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lorena	Gonzalez	D	80	San Diego	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Adam	Gray	D	21	Merced	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Tim	Grayson	D	14	Concord	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chris	Holden	D	41	Pasadena	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jacqui	Irwin	D	44	Thousand Oaks	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓ = vote in accordance with ACS CAN position    x = vote against ACS CAN position    NV = no vote    N/A = not in office

AB 1639	ACR 32	SB 8	SB 39	SB 44	SB 210	SB 260	SB 600	Percentage of Support for ACS CAN Positions
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	85%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	92%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	x	✓	x	x	✓	NV	61%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	92%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	x	✓	x	x	✓	x	61%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	92%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	x	✓	NV	x	✓	NV	54%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	x	✓	NV	54%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	92%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	85%
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	69%
N/A	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	92%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	92%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	92%
✓	✓	x	✓	✓	x	✓	NV	61%
✓	NV	x	✓	x	x	NV	NV	23%
NV	✓	NV	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	69%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	x	✓	x	x	NV	NV	38%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	92%
NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	77%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	x	✓	NV	x	✓	✓	77%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	92%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	x	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	85%

## State Assembly Scorecard (cont.)

First Name	Last	Party	District	City	2018-2020				
					AB 414	AB 479	AB 528	AB 647	AB 1309
Reggie	Jones-Sawyer	D	59	Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ash	Kalra	D	27	San Jose	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sydney	Kamlager-Dove	D	54	Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kevin	Kiley	R	6	Rocklin	NV	NV	✓	✓	NV
Tom	Lackey	R	36	Palmdale	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Marc	Levine	D	10	Marin County	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Monique	Limón	D	37	Santa Barbara	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Evan	Low	D	28	Campbell	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Brian	Maienschein	D	77	San Diego	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Devon	Mathis	R	26	Visalia	✓	x	✓	✓	✓
Chad	Mayes	R	42	Yucca Valley	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV
Kevin	McCarty	D	7	Sacramento	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jose	Medina	D	61	Riverside	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Melissa	Melendez	R	67	Lake Elsinore	NV	x	✓	✓	✓
Kevin	Mullin	D	22	S. San Francisco	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Al	Muratsuchi	D	66	Torrance	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Adrin	Nazarian	D	46	North Hollywood	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jay	Obernolte	R	33	Big Bear Lake	✓	x	✓	✓	✓
Patrick	O'Donnell	D	70	Long Beach	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jim	Patterson	R	23	Fresno	NV	x	✓	✓	✓
Cottie	Petrie-Norris	D	74	Laguna Beach	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bill	Quirk	D	20	Hayward	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sharon	Quirk-Silva	D	65	Fullerton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
James	Ramos	D	40	Highland	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
Anthony	Rendon	D	63	Lakewood	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Eloise Gómez	Reyes	D	47	Grand Terrace	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Luz	Rivas	D	39	Arleta	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Robert	Rivas	D	30	Hollister	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Freddie	Rodriguez	D	52	Pomona	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Blanca	Rubio	D	48	Baldwin Park	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rudy	Salas	D	32	Bakersfield	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Miguel	Santiago	D	53	Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Christy	Smith	D	38	Santa Clarita	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mark	Stone	D	29	Scotts Valley	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Phil	Ting	D	19	San Francisco	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Randy	Voepel	R	71	Santee	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Marie	Waldron	R	75	Escondido	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Shirley	Weber	D	79	San Diego	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Buffy	Wicks	D	15	Oakland	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jim	Wood	D	2	Santa Rosa	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓ = vote in accordance with ACS CAN position    x = vote against ACS CAN position    NV = no vote    N/A = not in office

[illegible]

# State Senate Scorecard

First Name	Last	Party	District	City	AB 414	AB 528	AB 647	AB 1309	ACR 32	SB 8
Ben	Allen	D	26	Santa Monica	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bob	Archuleta	D	32	Pico Rivera	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Toni	Atkins	D	39	San Diego	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
Patricia	Bates	R	36	Laguna Niguel	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	x
Jim	Beall	D	15	San Jose	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Andreas	Borgeas	R	8	Fresno	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	x
Steven	Bradford	D	35	Gardena	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Anna	Caballero	D	12	Salinas	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ling Ling	Chang	R	29	Diamond Bar	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Brian	Dahle	R	1	Redding	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A	x
Bill	Dodd	D	3	Napa	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV
Maria Elena	Durazo	D	24	Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cathleen	Galgiani	D	5	Stockton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Steven	Glazer	D	7	Orinda	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lena	Gonzalez	D	33	Huntington Park	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A	✓
Shannon	Grove	R	16	Bakersfield	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Robert	Hertzberg	D	18	Van Nuys	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jerry	Hill	D	13	San Mateo	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ben	Hueso	D	40	San Diego	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Melissa	Hurtado	D	14	Sanger	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Hannah-Beth	Jackson	D	19	Santa Barbara	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	✓
Brian	Jones	R	38	Santee	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Connie	Leyva	D	20	Chino	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mike	McGuire	D	2	Healdsburg	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Holly	Mitchell	D	30	Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bill	Monning	D	17	Carmel	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
John	Moorlach	R	37	Costa Mesa	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Mike	Morrell	R	23	Rancho Cucamonga	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Jim	Nielsen	R	4	Red Bluff	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Richard	Pan	D	6	Sacramento	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Anthony	Portantino	D	25	La Canada Flintridge	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Richard	Roth	D	31	Riverside	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Susan	Rubio	D	22	Baldwin Park	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Nancy	Skinner	D	9	Berkeley	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Henry	Stern	D	27	Canoga Park	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jeff	Stone	R	28	La Quinta	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	x
Thomas	Umberg	D	34	Santa Ana	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bob	Wieckowski	D	10	Fremont	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Scott	Wiener	D	11	San Francisco	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Scott	Wilk	R	21	Santa Clarita	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓ = vote in accordance with ACS CAN position   x = vote against ACS CAN position   NV = no vote   N/A = not in office

# Governor Scorecard


Name	Party	AB 414	AB 528	AB 647	AB 1309	ACR 32	SB 8
Governor Newsom	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A	✓

✓ = vote in accordance with ACS CAN position   x = vote against ACS CAN position   NV = no vote   N/A = did not make it to his desk

SB 39	SB 44	SB 65	SB 210	SB 260	SB 346	SB 574	SB 600	SB 650	Percentage of Support for ACS CAN Positions
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	93%
✓	x	x	x	NV	✓	x	NV	✓	47%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	x	x	x	NV	✓	x	✓	✓	47%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	93%
✓	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	80%
N/A	x	N/A	x	NV	N/A	N/A	✓	N/A	56%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	93%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	87%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	93%
N/A	✓	N/A	✓	✓	N/A	N/A	✓	N/A	100%
✓	x	x	x	NV	✓	x	✓	✓	60%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	ü	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	87%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	93%
✓	x	x	x	x	✓	x	✓	✓	60%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	93%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	x	✓	x	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	67%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
✓	x	✓	x	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	80%



American Cancer Society  
Cancer Action Network  
1029 J Street, Suite 450  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
tel 916.448.0500 • fax 916.447.693



The American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network (ACS CAN) is making cancer a top priority for public officials and candidates at the federal, state and local levels. ACS CAN empowers advocates across the country to make their voices heard and influence evidence-based public policy change as well as legislative and regulatory solutions that will reduce the cancer burden. As the American Cancer Society's nonprofit, nonpartisan advocacy affiliate, ACS CAN is critical to the fight for a world without cancer. For more information, visit [www.fightcancer.org](http://www.fightcancer.org).